IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.36460 of 2008
BIPIN BIHARI PANDEY & ANR
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2. 17.09.2008 Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the State.

Present is yet another unfortunate example of why the board of bail matters of this Court is being burdened unnecessarily. After the prayer for bail was rejected by this Court fresh developments have taken place. The offences are compoundable. The parties have entered into settlement when the complainant stood in court and supported the same. All that this court can say is that the rejection of the prayer for bail in view of changed circumstances was unfortunate to say the least, on the reasoning of the earlier rejection by this Court.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners namely Bipin Bihari Pandey and Bishnu Shankar Pandey surrender in the court below within a period of four weeks from today when they shall be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of SDJM Bikramganj (Rohtas) in Complaint Case No. 344 of 2007.

Snkumar/-

(Navin Sinha,J.)

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

WEB COPY
NOT OFFICIAL